[27th January 1931

2. In this Act, 'Government road' means any public road in the charge of **and maintained by the Local Government and notified in the "Fort St. George Gazette" as a Government road for the purposes of this Act.** Definition of 'Government road.'

3. (1) The Local Government may, after previous publication, make rules to provide— Power to make rules for the control of traffic.

(a) for the regulation of the use of Government roads and the closing thereof or parts thereof; and

(b) for the regulation of traffic in Government roads or their reservation for particular kinds of traffic.

(2) Any rules made under sub-section (1) shall be laid before the Legislative Council at the very next meeting of the Council after they are made.

4. In making any rule, the Local Government may provide that a breach thereof shall be punishable Penalty for breach of rules.

(*a*) with fine which may extend to fifty rupees and, in case of a continuing breach, with fine which may extend to fifteen rupees for every day during which the breach continues after conviction for the first breach, or

(*b*) with fine which may extend to ten rupees for every day during which the breach continues after receipt of notice from the officer empowered in this behalf by the Local Government to discontinue such breach.

APPENDIX IX.

(Vide item XIII of the proceedings at page 231 supra)

BILL No. 1 OF 1931.

A Bill to validate the constitution of the Sessions divisions of East and West Tanjore.

WHEREAS by the notification of the Local Government in the Law (General) Department, No. 175, dated the 22nd day of June 1921, the Governor in Council was pleased to direct that, with effect from the 1st day of September 1921, the Sessions division of Tanjore should be styled as the Sessions division of West Tanjore consisting of the revenue taluks of Kumbakonam, Papanasam, Tanjore, Mannargudi, Pattukkottai and Arantangi of the collectorate or revenue district of Tanjore;

27th January 1931]

AND WHEREAS by the notification of the Local Government in the Law (General) Department, No. 177, dated the 22nd day of June 1921, the Governor in Council was pleased to add to the number of Sessions divisions in the province by establishing, with effect from the 1st day of September 1921, a new Sessions division styled as the Sessions division of East Tanjore, consisting of the revenue taluks of Shiyali, Mayavaram, Nannilam, Negapatam and Tirutturaipundi of the collectorate or revenue district of Tanjore;

AND WHEREAS doubts have been raised as to the validity of the constitution of the said two Sessions divisions in the revenue district of Tanjore;

AND WHEREAS it is expedient to remove those doubts and validate the constitution of the said Sessions divisions and the proceedings of the Courts of Session thereof;

AND WHEREAS the previous sanction of the Governor General has been obtained to the passing of this Act;

It is hereby enacted as follows:—

Short title.

1. This Act may be called the East and West Tanjore Sessions Divisions (Validation) Act, 1931.

Commencement.

2. This Act shall be deemed to have had effect on and from the 1st day of September 1921.

Validation of the constitution of the Sessions divisions of East and West Tanjore and of the proceedings of the Courts of Session thereof.

V of 1898.

3. Notwithstanding anything contained in sub-section (1) of section 7 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1898, the Sessions division of East Tanjore and the Sessions division of West Tanjore in the revenue district of Tanjore and the courts of sessions established for each one of the said sessions divisions shall be deemed to have been and to be validly constituted and no proceeding of the Courts of Session of the said Sessions divisions shall be questioned merely on the ground that the limits of neither of the said sessions divisions were or are conterminous with the limits of a district.

STATEMENT OF OBJECTS AND REASONS.

Consequent on the increase in criminal litigation, the revenue district of Tanjore was constituted into two Sessions divisions styled East Tanjore and West Tanjore with a Court of Session for each division with effect from the 1st day of September 1921. The two Sessions divisions and the Sessions Courts have continued ever since. Under sub-section (1) of section 7 of the Code of

Criminal Procedure, 1898, a Sessions division should consist of a district or districts and not of a part of a district. Doubts have, therefore, been raised as to the validity of the constitution of the two Sessions divisions of East Tanjore and West Tanjore. This Bill is intended to remove such doubts and to validate the constitution of the said two Sessions divisions and the proceedings of the Courts of Sessions thereof.

20*th November* 1930. M. KRISHNAN NAIR.

APPENDIX X.

[Vide item XVIII—paragraph 2 of papers laid on the table of the House at page 275 supra.]

G.O. No. 1965, Development, 25th October 1930.

READ—the following papers :—

I

Letter from Rao Bahadur A. RANGASWAMI AYYAR, B.A., Assistant Director of Commercial Audit, to the Secretary to Government, Development Department, dated Madras, the 24th and 25th July 1930, No. 33/45.

I have the honour to submit herewith the audit report and accounts of the Russellkonda Saw Mills (in liquidation) for the year ending 31st March 1930.

2. A copy of the report and accounts has been sent to the Chief Conservator of Forests, Madras, with the request that he should forward his remarks thereon to you direct.

3. Copies of this report and accounts have also been forwarded to the Finance Department, Accountant-General, Madras, the Director of Commercial Audit, Conservator of Forests, I Circle, and District Forest Officer, Ganjam, for information.

ENCLOSURE.

Russellkonda Saw Mills (In liquidation) Report on the accounts of the Saw Mills for the year 1929–30.

[Russellkonda Saw Mills (In liquidation) Audit Report on the accounts for the year ending 31st March 1930.]

As stated in paragraph (1) of the last audit report, the accounts of the mills in liquidation have not been maintained in commercial form, but the receipts and charges are shown under a separate heading in the departmental accounts of the District Forest Officer, Ganjam, submitted monthly to the Accountant-General. There is no objection to the continuance of the existing procedure as most of the assets have been already disposed of. As the